

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,188	12/29/2000	Igor Y. Khandros	276440-17	1104
27521 , 7	7590 10/23/2003		EXAMINER	
N. KENNETH BURRASTON P.O. BOX 45898 201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0898			ARBES, CARL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	22
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/753,188	KHANDROS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	C. J. Arbes	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) or will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed flays will be considered timely. flays mill be considered timely. flays mill be considered this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u> September 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39 and 40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	an naiority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440	(a) (d) as (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome. 	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/753,188

Art Unit: 3729

Applicants are requested to provide a copy of item labeled "18" on an IDS filed on or about 05 February 2003. The document is Number 30508 in *Research*Disclosure, dated September 1989.

The Office's Action mailed to Applicants on or about 18 June 2003 is hereby rescinded in favor of the following **non-Final** Office Action. In view of the office's rescission any remarks with respect to Applicants' Response (filed on or about 22 September 2003 is deemed to irrelevant.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-132 of U.S. Patent No. 6,049,976; hereinafter '976 (of record). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differences between the claimed invention in the instant Application *viv a vis* those claims in the '976 would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art to practice in instant invention given the claims in the '976.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,188

Art Unit: 3729

This is not a **Final Action**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C. J. Arbes at telephone number (703)308-1857.

CARL J. ARBES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3